

EQUIVALENCE OF DEMAZURE AND BOTT-SAMELSON RESOLUTIONS VIA FACTORIZATION

ARLO CAINE

ABSTRACT. Let G , B , and H denote a complex semi-simple algebraic group, a Borel subgroup of G , and a maximal complex torus in B , respectively. Choose a compact real form K of G such that $T = K \cap H$ is a maximal torus in T . Then there are two models for the flag space of G : the complex quotient $X = G/B$ and the real quotient K/T . These models are smoothly equivalent via the map $\tilde{\mathbf{k}}: G/B \rightarrow K/T$ induced by factorization in G relative to the Iwasawa decomposition $G = KAN$, where N is the nilradical of B and $H = TA$. Likewise, there are two models for resolutions of the Schubert subvarieties $\overline{X}_w \subset X$: the Demazure resolution of $\overline{X}_w$ which is constructed via a complex algebraic quotient and the Bott-Samelson resolution of $\mathbf{k}(\overline{X}_w)$ which is constructed as a real quotient of compact groups. This paper makes explicit the equivalence and compatibility of these two resolutions using factorization. As an application, we can compute the change of variables map relating the standard complex algebraic coordinates on X_w to Lu's real algebraic coordinates on $\tilde{\mathbf{k}}(X_w)$.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let G be a complex semisimple algebraic group and let B be a Borel subgroup of G . Let H be a maximal complex torus in B (and hence in G) and let $W = N_G(H)/H$ denote the Weyl group of G with respect to H . The complex quotient $X = G/B$ is a complex projective variety and a model for the flag space of G . Choose a compact real form K of G such that $T = K \cap H$ is a maximal torus in K . Then write $H = TA$ for the Cartan decomposition of H under the Cartan decomposition G relative to K . The real quotient K/T is another model for the flag space of G .

The typical argument for the equivalence of the models G/B and K/T starts with the observation that the canonical inclusion $K/T \rightarrow G/B$ is an injective immersion. Let N denote the nil-radical of B . Using the Iwasawa decomposition $G = KAN$, and the fact that N is stable under the adjoint action of T , one proves that the map is surjective. Since the domain is compact, the inverse map must be smooth by an inverse function theorem argument. Hence, the canonical inclusion $K/T \rightarrow G/B$ is a diffeomorphism.

However, the inverse of this map can be made more explicit. Let $D = AN$ so that the Iwasawa decomposition has the form $G = KD$. Since multiplication in G induces a diffeomorphism $K \times D \rightarrow G$, we know that each element $g \in G$ has a unique factorization of the form $g = \mathbf{k}(g)\mathbf{d}(g)$ where $\mathbf{k}(g) \in K$ and $\mathbf{d}(g) \in D$. This defines smooth functions $\mathbf{k}: G \rightarrow K$ and $\mathbf{d}: G \rightarrow D$. The map $\mathbf{k}$ is right D -invariant and right T -equivariant and thus induces a map $\tilde{\mathbf{k}}: G/B \rightarrow K/T$ since $B = TD$ and D is stable under the adjoint action of T . If $[g]_{G/B}$ denotes the class

Date: March 3, 2015.

of $g \in G$ in G/B and $[k]_{K/T}$ denotes the class of $k \in K$ in K/T , then the inclusion $\tilde{l}: K/T \rightarrow G/B$ is given by $[k]_{K/T} \mapsto [k]_{G/B}$ while $\tilde{\mathbf{k}}: G/B \rightarrow K/T$ is given by $\tilde{\mathbf{k}}[g]_{G/B} = [\mathbf{k}(g)]_{K/T}$ by definition. Under the composition $K/T \rightarrow G/B \rightarrow K/T$ one has $[k]_{K/T} \mapsto [k]_{G/B} \mapsto [\mathbf{k}(k)]_{K/T} = [k]_{K/T}$ since $\mathbf{k}$ restricts to the identity on K . Thus, $\tilde{\mathbf{k}}$ is the inverse of the canonical inclusion $K/T \rightarrow G/B$.

In a similar fashion, this paper makes explicit the equivalence of two different models of resolutions of Schubert varieties $\overline{BwB} \subset X = G/B$. Recall that the group B acts on G from the left by multiplication and this induces a left action of B on X . The orbits of B on X are finite in number and indexed by the elements of W . Each orbit $X_w = BwB$, for $w \in W$, is called a Schubert cell in X and is a complex subvariety of X isomorphic to $\mathbb{C}^{\ell(w)}$ where $\ell(w)$ is the length of w . Its closure in X , denoted $\overline{X_w}$, is called a Schubert variety.

In general, the Schubert varieties are singular. There are two similar constructions which produce smooth resolutions of these spaces depending on a reduced decomposition of w into a sequence $\mathbf{w}$ of simple reflections.

- (1) The Bott-Samelson resolution [1] considers the Schubert variety as a compact topological subspace $\tilde{\mathbf{k}}(\overline{X_w})$ of K/T and uses the subgroups of K of minimal rank associated to the simple reflections in $\mathbf{w}$ to construct the resolving space $\mathcal{BS}_{\mathbf{w}}$.
- (2) The Demazure resolution [2] considers $\overline{X_w}$ itself as a complex algebraic subvariety of $X = G/B$ and uses the minimal parabolic subgroups of G containing B associated to the simple reflections in $\mathbf{w}$ to construct the resolving space $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{w}}$.

There is a canonical inclusion $\mathcal{BS}_{\mathbf{w}} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{w}}$ which one can argue is a proper bijective immersion. Since the domain is compact, it is possible to conclude, abstractly, that the map is a diffeomorphism by an inverse function theorem argument. However, as with the canonical inclusion $K/T \rightarrow G/B$, the inverse of this inclusion can be made more explicit. This is the main point of Section 3 and a new result. In this setting however, the inverse is induced from a map built from both of the factorization maps $\mathbf{k}$ and $\mathbf{d}$ together with the multiplication map on G . As an application, we show in Section 4 how to use this equivalence to compute the change of coordinates between the standard holomorphic coordinates on X_w and Lu's real algebraic coordinates on $\tilde{\mathbf{k}}(X_w)$.

Acknowledgements 1.1. This research was supported by the Provost's Teacher-Scholar program at California State Polytechnic University Pomona. The author is grateful for this support and would also like to thank Doug Pickrell and Sam Evens for useful discussions.

2. DEMAZURE VS. BOTT-SAMELSON RESOLUTIONS

The point of this section is review the constructions of the Demazure and Bott-Samelson resolutions and establish notation that will be used in the proof of the main results in Section 3. Let $\mathfrak{g}$ denote the Lie algebra of G , and let $\mathfrak{b}$ and $\mathfrak{h}$ denote the subalgebras of $\mathfrak{g}$ corresponding to B and H . Then $\mathfrak{h}$ is a Cartan subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$ and we can decompose $\mathfrak{g}$ into root spaces under the adjoint action of $\mathfrak{h}$. The Borel subalgebra $\mathfrak{b}$ determines a choice of positive roots and we let Δ denote the set of simple positive roots. The parabolic subalgebras of $\mathfrak{g}$ containing $\mathfrak{b}$ are in

one-to-one correspondence with the subsets of Δ . The minimal such parabolic subalgebras (other than $\mathfrak{b}$ itself) are those corresponding to the singleton subsets of Δ . Recall that each simple positive root determines a unique simple reflection in $W = N_G(H)/H$. It will be convenient to write $\mathfrak{p}_s$ for the minimal parabolic subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$ containing $\mathfrak{b}$ determined by the simple positive root whose associated simple reflection is $s \in W$. Let P_s denote the corresponding parabolic subgroup of G containing B .

Let $w \in W$ be given and suppose that $\mathbf{w} = (s_1, s_2, \dots, s_\ell)$ is a finite sequence of simple reflections associated to positive simple roots such that $w = s_1 s_2 \dots s_\ell$. Note that the subscript i in s_i indicates its position in the sequence, not that it is the i^{th} simple positive root in some fixed enumeration of those roots. Then B^ℓ acts freely from the right on

$$(1) \quad P_{\mathbf{w}} = P_{s_1} \times P_{s_2} \times \dots \times P_{s_\ell}$$

by the action

$$(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_\ell) \cdot (b_1, b_2, \dots, b_\ell) = (p_1 b_1, b_1^{-1} p_2 b_2, \dots, b_{\ell-1}^{-1} p_\ell b_\ell)$$

and we denote the quotient $P_{\mathbf{w}}/B^\ell$ by

$$(2) \quad \mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{w}} = P_{\mathbf{w}}/B^\ell$$

$$(3) \quad = P_{s_1} \times_B P_{s_2} \times_B \dots \times_B P_{s_\ell}/B$$

for the total space of the Demazure resolution determined by $\mathbf{w}$. It is a smooth complex projective variety.

Multiplication $P_{\mathbf{w}} = P_{s_1} \times P_{s_2} \times \dots \times P_{s_\ell} \rightarrow G$ is equivariant for the right action of B^ℓ on $P_{\mathbf{w}}$ and the right action of B on G and thus induces a complex analytic map

$$\rho_{\mathbf{w}}: \mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{w}} \rightarrow X = G/B.$$

When the sequence $\mathbf{w}$ is reduced, i.e., $\ell = \ell(w)$, the image of $\rho_{\mathbf{w}}$ is the Schubert variety $\overline{X}_w$. Each decomposition of w as a reduced sequence $\mathbf{w}$ of simple reflections thus determines a resolution of singularities for $\overline{X}_w$ restricting to an isomorphism $\rho_{\mathbf{w}}^{-1}(X_w) \rightarrow X_w$ over the Schubert cell X_w .

Alternatively, if one chooses a compact real form $\mathfrak{k}$ of $\mathfrak{g}$ such that $\mathfrak{t} = \mathfrak{k} \cap \mathfrak{h}$ is a Cartan subalgebra of $\mathfrak{k}$, then the intersections $K_{s_i} = K \cap P_{s_i}$, for $i = 1, 2, \dots, \ell$ are the subgroups of K of minimal rank containing T . These are the subgroups of K containing T with roots α_i and $-\alpha_i$. Let

$$(4) \quad K_{\mathbf{w}} = K_{s_1} \times K_{s_2} \times \dots \times K_{s_\ell}$$

and note that $K_{\mathbf{w}}$ is a real compact subgroup of $P_{\mathbf{w}}$. The free action of B^ℓ on $P_{\mathbf{w}}$ restricts to a free action of T^ℓ on $P_{\mathbf{w}}$ which stabilizes $K_{\mathbf{w}}$, since T is a subgroup of K_{s_i} for each $i = 1, 2, \dots, \ell$. We denote the quotient by

$$(5) \quad \mathcal{BS}_{\mathbf{w}} = K_{\mathbf{w}}/T^\ell$$

$$(6) \quad = K_{s_1} \times_T K_{s_2} \times_T \dots \times_T K_{s_\ell}/T$$

for the Bott-Samelson resolution. It is a smooth compact manifold.

Multiplication $K_{\mathbf{w}} = K_{s_1} \times K_{s_2} \times \dots \times K_{s_\ell} \rightarrow K$ is equivariant for the right action of T^ℓ on $K_{\mathbf{w}}$ and the right action of T on K and thus induces a smooth map

$$\rho_{\mathbf{w}}^K: \mathcal{BS}_{\mathbf{w}} \rightarrow K/T.$$

When the sequence $\mathbf{w}$ is reduced, the image of this map is $\tilde{\mathbf{k}}(\overline{X_w})$ in K/T . Each decomposition of w as a reduced sequence $\mathbf{w}$ of simple reflections thus determines a smooth manifold $\mathcal{BS}_{\mathbf{w}}$ and a smooth map $\rho_{\mathbf{w}}^K: \mathcal{BS}_{\mathbf{w}} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathbf{k}}(\overline{X_w})$ which is a diffeomorphism onto $\tilde{\mathbf{k}}(X_w)$ when restricted to the pre-image of $\tilde{\mathbf{k}}(X_w)$.

Just as the inclusion $K \rightarrow G$ induces a canonical inclusion $K/T \rightarrow G/B$, the inclusion $K_{\mathbf{w}} \rightarrow P_{\mathbf{w}}$ is equivariant for the actions of T^ℓ on $K_{\mathbf{w}}$ and B^ℓ on $P_{\mathbf{w}}$ and thus induces a canonical inclusion $\mathcal{BS}_{\mathbf{w}} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{w}}$. In the next section, we construct an explicit inverse for this map using factorization.

3. EQUIVALENCE OF RESOLUTIONS VIA FACTORIZATION

Let $\mathfrak{k}_{s_i} = \mathfrak{k} \cap \mathfrak{p}_{s_i}$ denote the Lie algebra of K_{s_i} for each $i = 1, 2, \dots, \ell$. Then $\mathfrak{p}_{s_i} = \mathfrak{k}_{s_i} + \mathfrak{d}$ is an Iwasawa decomposition of $\mathfrak{p}_{s_i}$ and therefore the multiplication map $K_{s_i} \times D \rightarrow P_{s_i}$ is a diffeomorphism for each $i = 1, 2, \dots, \ell$. Thus, $\mathbf{k}: G \rightarrow K$ restricts to a map $\mathbf{k}: P_{s_i} \rightarrow K_{s_i}$ for each $i = 1, 2, \dots, \ell$ and the product map $\mathbf{k}^\ell: G^\ell \rightarrow K^\ell$ then restricts to a map $\mathbf{k}^\ell: P_{\mathbf{w}} \rightarrow K_{\mathbf{w}}$.

Notation 3.1. Let $\phi_\ell: P_{\mathbf{w}} \rightarrow K_{\mathbf{w}}$ be defined by

$$(7) \quad \phi_\ell(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_\ell) = (\mathbf{k}(q_1), \mathbf{k}(q_2), \dots, \mathbf{k}(q_\ell))$$

where $(q_1, q_2, \dots, q_\ell) \in P_{\mathbf{w}}$ is obtained from $(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_\ell) \in P_{\mathbf{w}}$ recursively by $q_1 = p_1$ and $q_k = \mathbf{d}(q_{k-1})p_k$ for $k = 2, 3, \dots, \ell$.

Then ϕ_ℓ is smooth since its components are compositions of factorizations and multiplications in G . Note that the correspondence $\beta_\ell: P_{\mathbf{w}} \rightarrow P_{\mathbf{w}}$ defined by $\beta(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_\ell) = (q_1, q_2, \dots, q_\ell)$ is a real algebraic diffeomorphism $P_{\mathbf{w}} \rightarrow P_{\mathbf{w}}$. It is clearly a smooth map, since its components are compositions of factorizations and multiplications in G , but its recursive definition shows that it can easily be inverted and that the inverse is also smooth, involving compositions of factorizations, multiplications, and inversions in G .

Lemma 3.2. *The smooth map $\phi_\ell: P_{\mathbf{w}} \rightarrow K_{\mathbf{w}}$ intertwines the actions of B^ℓ on $P_{\mathbf{w}}$ and T^ℓ on $K_{\mathbf{w}}$ and thus descends to a smooth map*

$$\tilde{\phi}_\ell: \mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{w}} \rightarrow \mathcal{BS}_{\mathbf{w}}.$$

Proof. We need to prove that given $(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_\ell) \in P_{\mathbf{w}}$ and $(b_1, b_2, \dots, b_\ell) \in B^\ell$ there exists $(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_\ell) \in T^\ell$ such that

$$(8) \quad \phi_\ell((p_1, p_2, \dots, p_\ell) \cdot (b_1, b_2, \dots, b_\ell)) = \phi_\ell(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_\ell) \cdot (t_1, t_2, \dots, t_\ell).$$

Set $(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_\ell) = (\mathbf{k}(b_1), \mathbf{k}(b_2), \dots, \mathbf{k}(b_\ell))$. In the proof that this choice satisfies (8), it will be convenient to write $(p_k)'$ for the k^{th} coordinate of $\beta(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_\ell)$. Then, the k^{th} coordinate of $\beta((p_1, p_2, \dots, p_\ell) \cdot (b_1, b_2, \dots, b_\ell))$ is written $(b_{k-1}^{-1}p_k b_k)'$ while $(p_k)' = q_k$ in our previous notation. The proof will require two auxiliary identities

$$(9) \quad \mathbf{k}((b_{k-1}^{-1}p_k b_k)') = t_{k-1}^{-1} \mathbf{k}(q_k) t_k, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, \ell$$

and

$$(10) \quad \mathbf{d}((b_{k-1}^{-1}p_k b_k)') = t_{k-1}^{-1} \mathbf{d}(q_k) b_k, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, \ell$$

where $b_0 = t_0$ denotes the identity in G . We will prove (10) by induction on k and deduce (9) along the way. The $k = 1$ case of (9) is clear because the first coordinate of β is the identity map on P_{s_1} .

For the basis step of (10) we use the facts that $\mathbf{d}$ is right D -equivariant, but converts right multiplication by T into conjugation by t^{-1} . Indeed, if $g \in G$ and $d \in D$ then $gd = \mathbf{k}(g)\mathbf{d}(g)d$ implies that $\mathbf{d}(gd) = \mathbf{d}(g)d$ and if $t \in T$ then $gt = \mathbf{k}(g)\mathbf{d}(g)t = \mathbf{k}(g)t \cdot t^{-1}\mathbf{d}(g)t$ implies that $\mathbf{d}(gt) = t^{-1}\mathbf{d}(g)t$ because D is $\text{Ad}(T)$ -stable. The base case of (10) is then verified by $\mathbf{d}((p_1 b_1)') = \mathbf{d}(p_1 b_1) = \mathbf{d}(p_1 t_1 \mathbf{d}(b_1)) = t_1^{-1} \mathbf{d}(p_1) t_1 \mathbf{d}(b_1) = t_1^{-1} \mathbf{d}(q_1) b_1$ since the first coordinate of β is the identity map on P_{s_1} .

For the inductive step, assume that (10) holds for some k . Then

$$\begin{aligned} (b_k^{-1} p_{k+1} b_{k+1})' &= \mathbf{d}((b_{k-1}^{-1} p_k b_k)') \cdot b_k^{-1} p_{k+1} b_{k+1} \\ &= t_k^{-1} \mathbf{d}(q_k) b_k \cdot b_k^{-1} p_{k+1} b_{k+1} \\ &= t_k^{-1} \mathbf{d}(q_k) p_{k+1} b_{k+1} \end{aligned}$$

using the recursive definition of the $(k+1)^{\text{st}}$ coordinate of β and the inductive assumption. Now we recall that $q_{k+1} = \mathbf{d}(q_k) p_{k+1}$, so we can substitute, factor, and insert to find

$$\begin{aligned} (b_k^{-1} p_{k+1} b_{k+1})' &= t_k^{-1} q_{k+1} b_{k+1} \\ &= t_k^{-1} \mathbf{k}(q_{k+1}) \mathbf{d}(q_{k+1}) b_{k+1} \\ &= t_k^{-1} \mathbf{k}(q_{k+1}) t_{k+1} \cdot t_{k+1}^{-1} \mathbf{d}(q_{k+1}) t_{k+1} \mathbf{d}(b_{k+1}). \end{aligned}$$

From this last factorization, it follows that $\mathbf{k}((b_k^{-1} p_{k+1} b_{k+1})') = t_k^{-1} \mathbf{k}(q_{k+1}) t_{k+1}$, the $k+1$ case of (9) and $\mathbf{d}((b_k^{-1} p_{k+1} b_{k+1})') = t_{k+1}^{-1} \mathbf{d}(q_{k+1}) t_{k+1} \mathbf{d}(b_{k+1}) = t_{k+1}^{-1} \mathbf{d}(q_{k+1}) b_{k+1}$ which is the $k+1$ case of (10). This proves (9) and (10).

Finally, by applying (9), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_\ell((p_1, p_2, \dots, p_\ell) \cdot (b_1, b_2, \dots, b_\ell)) &= (\mathbf{k}((p_1 b_1)'), \mathbf{k}((b_1^{-1} p_2 b_2)'), \dots, \mathbf{k}((b_{m-1}^{-1} p_\ell b_\ell)')) \\ &= (\mathbf{k}(q_1) t_1, t_1^{-1} \mathbf{k}(q_2) t_2, \dots, t_{m-1}^{-1} \mathbf{k}(q_\ell) t_\ell) \\ &= \phi_\ell(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_\ell) \cdot (t_1, t_2, \dots, t_\ell) \end{aligned}$$

as was to be shown. $\square$

The main result of this paper is the following.

Theorem 3.3. *The induced map $\tilde{\phi}_\ell: \mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{w}} \rightarrow \mathcal{BS}_{\mathbf{w}}$ is a diffeomorphism whose inverse is the canonical inclusion $\mathcal{BS}_{\mathbf{w}} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{w}}$.*

Proof. Let $\tilde{\iota}: \mathcal{BS}_{\mathbf{w}} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{w}}$ denote the canonical inclusion. To prove the theorem, we will argue that $\tilde{\phi}_\ell \circ \tilde{\iota} = \text{id}_{\mathcal{BS}_{\mathbf{w}}}$ and $\tilde{\iota} \circ \tilde{\phi}_\ell = \text{id}_{\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{w}}}$. On the one hand, if $(k_1, k_2, \dots, k_\ell) \in K_{\mathbf{w}}$ then

$$(\phi_\ell \circ \iota)(k_1, k_2, \dots, k_\ell) = (\mathbf{k}(q_1), \mathbf{k}(q_2), \dots, \mathbf{k}(q_\ell))$$

where we recall that $q_1 = k_1$ and $q_j = \mathbf{d}(q_{j-1}) k_j$ for $j = 2, 3, \dots, \ell$. But if $q_{j-1} \in K$, then $\mathbf{d}(q_{j-1}) = 1 \in D$ and thus $q_j = k_j \in K$. Since $q_1 = k_1$, we see that

$$(\phi_\ell \circ \iota)(k_1, k_2, \dots, k_\ell) = (k_1, k_2, \dots, k_\ell)$$

by finite induction. Thus $\tilde{\phi}_\ell \circ \tilde{\iota} = \text{id}_{\mathcal{BS}_{\mathbf{w}}}$.

On the other hand, given $(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_\ell) \in P_{\mathbf{w}}$ we recursively compute the sequence $(q_1, q_2, \dots, q_\ell)$ and set $b_j = \mathbf{d}(q_j)^{-1}$ for $j = 1, 2, \dots, \ell$. Then $\mathbf{k}(q_1) = \mathbf{k}(p_1) = p_1 \mathbf{d}(p_1)^{-1} = p_1 b_1$. Furthermore, for $2 \leq j \leq \ell$ we have

$$\mathbf{k}(q_j) = q_j \mathbf{d}(q_j)^{-1} = \mathbf{d}(q_{j-1}) p_j \mathbf{d}(q_j)^{-1} = b_{j-1}^{-1} p_j b_j$$

which means that

$$(\iota \circ \phi_\ell)(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_\ell) = (\mathbf{k}(q_1), \mathbf{k}(q_2), \dots, \mathbf{k}(q_\ell)) = (p_1, p_2, \dots, p_\ell) \cdot (b_1, b_2, \dots, b_\ell)$$

with respect to the action of B^ℓ . Thus, $\tilde{\iota} \circ \tilde{\phi}_\ell = \text{id}_{\mathcal{D}_w}$. $\square$

Corollary 3.4. *The diagram*

$$(11) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{D}_w & \xrightarrow{\rho_w} & G/B \\ \tilde{\phi}_\ell \downarrow & & \downarrow \tilde{\mathbf{k}} \\ \mathcal{BS}_w & \xrightarrow{\rho_w^K} & K/T \end{array}$$

commutes.

Proof. The commutative diagram on the left in (12) induces the commutative diagram on the right.

$$(12) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} P_w & \xrightarrow{\text{mult}} & G \\ \uparrow \iota & & \uparrow \iota \\ K_w & \xrightarrow{\text{mult}} & K \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{D}_w & \xrightarrow{\rho_w} & G/B \\ \uparrow \tilde{\iota} & & \uparrow \tilde{\iota} \\ \mathcal{BS}_w & \xrightarrow{\rho_w^K} & K/T \end{array}$$

The map $\tilde{\mathbf{k}}$ is the inverse to the inclusion $\tilde{\iota}: K/T \rightarrow G/B$ and the map $\tilde{\phi}_\ell$ is the inverse to the inclusion $\tilde{\iota}: \mathcal{BS}_w \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_w$ by Theorem 3.3. Thus, inverting the vertical arrows of the diagram on the right yields the result. $\square$

Remark 3.5. In particular, when w is a reduced decomposition of w (so that $\ell = \ell(w)$) the map $\rho_w: \mathcal{D}_w \rightarrow \overline{X_w}$ is the Demazure resolution and $\rho_w^K: \mathcal{BS}_w \rightarrow \tilde{\mathbf{k}}(\overline{X_w})$ is the Bott-Samelson resolution. Then $\tilde{\phi}_\ell$ gives an explicit equivalence of resolutions via factorization and the following diagram commutes.

$$(13) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{D}_w & \xrightarrow{\rho_w} & \overline{X_w} \\ \tilde{\phi}_\ell \downarrow & & \downarrow \tilde{\mathbf{k}} \\ \mathcal{BS}_w & \xrightarrow{\rho_w^K} & \tilde{\mathbf{k}}(\overline{X_w}) \end{array}$$

4. COORDINATES ON X_w

As an application, we indicate how this explicit equivalence between the Demazure and Bott-Samelson resolutions can be used to compute the change of variables between standard holomorphic coordinates $(\zeta_1, \zeta_2, \dots, \zeta_\ell)$ on the complex model X_w of the Schubert cell and Lu's C^∞ -coordinates $(z_1, \bar{z}_1, z_2, \bar{z}_2, \dots, z_\ell, \bar{z}_\ell)$ on the real model $\tilde{\mathbf{k}}(X_w)$ from [3].

4.1. Holomorphic Coordinates on X_w . First, we review the standard construction of holomorphic coordinates on X_w . Let B^- denote the Borel subgroup of G such that $B^- \cap B = H$ and let N^- denote the nil-radical of B^- . For each $w \in W$, set $N_w = N \cap wN^-w^{-1}$. Then the orbit map $N_w \rightarrow X_w \subset G/B$ defined by $n \mapsto nwB$ is a biholomorphism because for each representative $\dot{w}$, the subset $N_w \dot{w} \subset G$ is transverse to the action of B . One can thus obtain holomorphic coordinates on X_w from a holomorphic parameterization of the nilpotent group N_w . There are many

ways to do this, but the construction of the Demazure resolution of $\overline{X_w}$ suggests an explicit algebraic procedure.

Let $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ denote the Killing form for $\mathfrak{g}$. For each positive root α , let H_α denote the coroot defined by $\langle H_\alpha, H \rangle = \alpha(H)$ for each $H \in \mathfrak{h}$. For each simple positive root γ , choose root vectors E_γ and $E_{-\gamma}$ for γ and $-\gamma$, respectively, such that $\langle E_\gamma, E_{-\gamma} \rangle = 1$. Then $[E_\gamma, E_{-\gamma}] = H_\gamma$. Set

$$\check{H}_\gamma = \frac{2}{\langle \langle \gamma, \gamma \rangle \rangle} H_\gamma, \quad \check{E}_\gamma = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\langle \langle \gamma, \gamma \rangle \rangle}} E_\gamma, \quad \check{E}_{-\gamma} = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\langle \langle \gamma, \gamma \rangle \rangle}} E_{-\gamma}$$

where $\langle \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle \rangle$ denotes the dual of the Killing form. Then the linear map $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ determined by

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \mapsto \check{H}_\gamma, \quad \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \mapsto \check{E}_\gamma, \quad \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \mapsto \check{E}_{-\gamma}$$

is a Lie algebra homomorphism which integrates to a Lie group homomorphism

$$\Psi_\gamma: \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow G.$$

It will be convenient to think of γ as corresponding to a unique simple reflection s and denote Ψ_γ by Ψ_s . Note that by construction, Ψ_s maps diagonal matrices into H and unipotent upper triangular matrices into N_s .

The matrix

$$\sigma = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

is a representative for the non-trivial element of the Weyl group of $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ relative to the Cartan subalgebra of diagonal matrices. Thus, to the decomposition $\mathbf{w} = (s_1, s_2, \dots, s_\ell)$ for w , we can associate representatives

$$\dot{s}_j = \Psi_{s_j}(\sigma), \quad \text{and} \quad \dot{w} = \dot{s}_1 \dot{s}_2 \cdots \dot{s}_\ell$$

in $N_G(H)$ for each reflection s_j in the sequence and for the element w . We will write $\dot{\mathbf{w}} = (\dot{s}_1, \dot{s}_2, \dots, \dot{s}_\ell) \in K_{\mathbf{w}} \subset P_{\mathbf{w}}$. For $\zeta_j \in \mathbb{C}$ we define

$$n_{\zeta_j} = \Psi_{s_j} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \zeta_j \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \exp(\zeta_j \check{E}_{\gamma_j}) \in N_{s_j}$$

where γ_j is the simple positive root associated to the j^{th} reflection s_j in the sequence $\mathbf{w}$. The function $\mathbb{C} \rightarrow N_{s_j}$ defined by $\zeta_j \mapsto n_{\zeta_j}$ is a biholomorphism. Let $N_{\mathbf{w}} = N_{s_1} \times N_{s_2} \times \cdots \times N_{s_\ell}$. Then $(\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_\ell) \rightarrow (n_{\zeta_1}, \dots, n_{\zeta_\ell})$ is a parametrization of $N_{\mathbf{w}}$ by $\mathbb{C}^\ell$. The following result is a standard fact.

Proposition 4.1. *If $\mathbf{w}$ is a reduced decomposition of w , then there exists a unique biholomorphism $M_{\dot{\mathbf{w}}}: N_{\mathbf{w}} \rightarrow N_w$ such that*

$$M_{\dot{\mathbf{w}}}(n_{\zeta_1}, \dots, n_{\zeta_\ell}) \dot{w} = n_{\zeta_1} \dot{s}_1 n_{\zeta_2} \dot{s}_2 \cdots n_{\zeta_\ell} \dot{s}_\ell$$

for each $(\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_\ell) \in \mathbb{C}^\ell$.

Thus, if we write $N_{\mathbf{w}} \dot{\mathbf{w}}$ for the orbit $N_{s_1} \dot{s}_1 \times \cdots \times N_{s_\ell} \dot{s}_\ell$ of $\dot{\mathbf{w}}$ in $P_{\mathbf{w}}$ under left multiplication by $N_{\mathbf{w}}$, we obtain the following commutative diagram in which each

arrow is a biholomorphism.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
N_{\mathbf{w}} & \xrightarrow{M_{\dot{\mathbf{w}}}} & N_w \\
\downarrow \cdot \dot{\mathbf{w}} & & \downarrow \cdot \dot{w} \\
N_{\mathbf{w}} \dot{\mathbf{w}} & \xrightarrow{\text{mult}} & N_w \dot{w} \\
\downarrow \text{mod } B^\ell & & \downarrow \text{mod } B \\
N_{\mathbf{w}} \dot{\mathbf{w}} \cdot B^\ell & \xrightarrow{\rho_{\mathbf{w}}} & N_w \dot{w} \cdot B
\end{array}$$

The map $h_{\dot{\mathbf{w}}}: \mathbb{C}^\ell \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{w}}$ defined by

$$(14) \quad h_{\dot{\mathbf{w}}}(\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_\ell) = [n_{\zeta_1} \dot{s}_1, \dots, n_{\zeta_\ell} \dot{s}_\ell] \in \mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{w}}$$

is then a biholomorphism onto the open set $N_{\mathbf{w}} \dot{\mathbf{w}} \cdot B^\ell = \rho_{\mathbf{w}}^{-1}(X_w)$ giving holomorphic coordinates on the Demazure resolution. The composition $\rho_{\mathbf{w}} \circ h_{\dot{\mathbf{w}}}: \mathbb{C}^\ell \rightarrow X_w$ is a holomorphic coordinate chart on the complex model $X_w \subset G/B$ of the Schubert cell.

4.2. Lu's coordinates on $\mathbf{k}(X_w)$. In ([3], Theorem 1, pg. 360) Lu proved an analog of the previous proposition, giving rise to a different coordinate system on the real model $\mathbf{k}(X_w) \subset K/T$ of the Schubert cell. Although it is convenient to express the formulas for these coordinates in terms of complex variables z_j , the coordinates are not holomorphic, in general, as will be made clear below.

First, we must choose a specific compact real form $\mathfrak{k}$ of $\mathfrak{g}$ as follows. For each remaining positive root α choose root vectors E_α and $E_{-\alpha}$ for α and $-\alpha$, respectively, such that $\langle E_\alpha, E_{-\alpha} \rangle = 1$. Then $[E_\alpha, E_{-\alpha}] = H_\alpha$. Set

$$X_\alpha = E_\alpha - E_{-\alpha}, \quad Y_\alpha = i(E_\alpha + E_{-\alpha})$$

for positive root α . Then the real subspace $\mathfrak{k} = \text{span}_{\mathbb{R}}\{iH_\alpha, X_\alpha, Y_\alpha : \alpha > 0\}$ of $\mathfrak{g}$ is a compact real form of $\mathfrak{g}$ such that $\mathfrak{t} = \text{span}_{\mathbb{R}}\{iH_\alpha : \alpha > 0\}$ is a Cartan subalgebra of $\mathfrak{k}$. In this setting, $\mathfrak{a} = i\mathfrak{t}$. We let K , T , and A denote the real connected subgroups of G integrating $\mathfrak{k}$, $\mathfrak{t}$, and $\mathfrak{a}$, respectively. This specifies factorization maps $\mathbf{k}: G \rightarrow K$ and $\mathbf{d}: G \rightarrow D = AN$.

Proposition 4.2 (Lu). *If $\mathbf{w}$ is a reduced decomposition of w then there exists a unique diffeomorphism $F_{\dot{\mathbf{w}}}: N_{\mathbf{w}} \rightarrow N_w$ such that*

$$\mathbf{k}(F_{\dot{\mathbf{w}}}(n_{z_1}, n_{z_2}, \dots, n_{z_\ell})\dot{w}) = \mathbf{k}(n_{z_1} \dot{s}_1) \mathbf{k}(n_{z_2} \dot{s}_2) \cdots \mathbf{k}(n_{z_\ell} \dot{s}_\ell)$$

for each $(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_\ell) \in \mathbb{C}^\ell$.

As a consequence, we then obtain a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathbf{k}^\ell(N_{\mathbf{w}} \dot{\mathbf{w}}) \cdot T^\ell & \xrightarrow{\rho_{\mathbf{w}}^K} & \mathbf{k}(N_w \dot{w}) \cdot T \\
\uparrow \text{mod } T^\ell & & \uparrow \text{mod } T \\
\mathbf{k}^\ell(N_{\mathbf{w}} \dot{\mathbf{w}}) & \xrightarrow{\text{mult}} & \mathbf{k}(N_w \dot{w}) \\
\uparrow \mathbf{k}^\ell(\cdot \dot{\mathbf{w}}) & & \uparrow \mathbf{k}(\cdot \dot{w}) \\
N_{\mathbf{w}} & \xrightarrow{F_{\dot{\mathbf{w}}}} & N_w
\end{array}$$

in which each arrow is a diffeomorphism. Recall that $\mathbf{k}(N_w \dot{w}).T = \tilde{\mathbf{k}}(X_w)$. Thus, the map $j_{\mathbf{w}}: \mathbb{C}^\ell \rightarrow \mathcal{BS}_{\mathbf{w}}$ defined by

$$(15) \quad j_{\mathbf{w}}(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_\ell) = [\mathbf{k}(n_{z_1} \dot{s}_1), \dots, \mathbf{k}(n_{z_\ell} \dot{s}_\ell)] \in \mathcal{BS}_{\mathbf{w}}$$

is a diffeomorphism onto the open set $\mathbf{k}^\ell(N_{\mathbf{w}} \dot{\mathbf{w}}).T^\ell = (\rho_{\mathbf{w}}^K)^{-1}(\tilde{\mathbf{k}}(X_w))$, giving smooth coordinates on the Bott-Samelson resolution $\mathcal{BS}_{\mathbf{w}}$. Furthermore, the composition $\rho_{\mathbf{w}}^K \circ j_{\mathbf{w}}: \mathbb{C}^\ell \rightarrow \tilde{\mathbf{k}}(X_w)$ is a diffeomorphism onto $\tilde{\mathbf{k}}(X_w) \subset K/T$ of the Schubert cell. These are Lu's coordinates on the real model of the Schubert cell.

Despite the fact that Lu's construction involves the $\mathbf{k}$ factorization map, which is in general very complicated, the coordinates can be explicitly computed. A key role is played by the real function $a: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$(16) \quad a(z) = (1 + |z|^2)^{-1/2}$$

which occurs in the following Lemma.

Lemma 4.3. *For each $z \in \mathbb{C}$,*

$$\mathbf{k}(n_z \dot{s}_j) = \Psi_{s_j} \begin{pmatrix} i z a(z) & i a(z) \\ i a(z) & -i \bar{z} a(z) \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$\mathbf{d}(n_z \dot{s}_j) = \Psi_{s_j} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \bar{z} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \Psi_{s_j} \begin{pmatrix} a(z)^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & a(z) \end{pmatrix} = \exp(\bar{z} \check{E}_{\gamma_j}) a(z)^{-\check{H}_{\gamma_j}}.$$

Proof. With this choice of K ,

$$\Psi_{s_j}(\mathrm{SU}(2)) \subset K_{s_j}, \quad \Psi_{s_j} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & z \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \exp(z \check{E}_{\gamma_j}) \in N_{s_j},$$

for each $z \in \mathbb{C}$ and

$$\Psi_{s_j} \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & a^{-1} \end{pmatrix} = a^{\check{H}_{\gamma_j}} \in A$$

for each $a > 0$ in $\mathbb{R}$. As a result, $\mathbf{k}(n_{z_j} \dot{s}_j)$ can be computed explicitly. First, we observe that for each $z \in \mathbb{C}$

$$n_z \dot{s}_j = \Psi_{s_j} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & z \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \Psi_{s_j} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \Psi_{s_j} \begin{pmatrix} i z & i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The equation

$$\begin{pmatrix} i z & i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} i z a(z) & i a(z) \\ i a(z) & -i \bar{z} a(z) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \bar{z} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a(z)^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & a(z) \end{pmatrix},$$

where $a(z) = (1 + |z|^2)^{-1/2}$, is a factorization in $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ into a product of an element of $\mathrm{SU}(2)$, an upper triangular unipotent matrix, and a diagonal matrix with positive diagonal entries. By construction, Ψ_{s_j} carries this factorization into an Iwasawa decomposition of $n_z \dot{s}_j$. The result follows. $\square$

4.3. Change of Coordinates. Specializing the commutative diagram (13) to the open sets $N_{\mathbf{w}} \dot{\mathbf{w}}.B^\ell \subset \mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{w}}$ and $\mathbf{k}^\ell(N_{\mathbf{w}} \dot{\mathbf{w}}).T^\ell \subset \mathcal{BS}_{\mathbf{w}}$, and including their parameterizations $h_{\mathbf{w}}$ from (14) and $j_{\mathbf{w}}$ from (15), we obtain a commutative diagram

$$(17) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} \mathbb{C}^\ell & \xrightarrow{h_{\mathbf{w}}} & N_{\mathbf{w}} \dot{\mathbf{w}}.B^\ell & \xrightarrow{\rho_{\mathbf{w}}} & X_w \\ & & \downarrow \tilde{\phi}_\ell & & \downarrow \mathbf{k} \\ \mathbb{C}^\ell & \xrightarrow{j_{\mathbf{w}}} & \mathbf{k}^\ell(N_{\mathbf{w}} \dot{\mathbf{w}}).T^\ell & \xrightarrow{\rho_{\mathbf{w}}^K} & \mathbf{k}(X_w) \end{array}$$

in which each arrow is a diffeomorphism. Thus $j_{\dot{\mathbf{w}}}^{-1} \circ \tilde{\phi}_\ell \circ h_{\dot{\mathbf{w}}}: \mathbb{C}^\ell \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\ell$ gives the change of variables between the holomorphic coordinates and Lu's coordinates on the Schubert cell.

Example 1. When $\ell(w) = 1$, so that $w = s$ for some simple reflection s in W , there is only one possible reduced sequence $\mathbf{w} = (s)$ and $(\tilde{\phi}_1 \circ h_{\dot{\mathbf{w}}})(\zeta) = [\mathbf{k}(n_\zeta \dot{s})] = j_{\dot{\mathbf{w}}}(z)$ if only if $z = \zeta$. Thus, the change of variables is the identity map.

Remark 4.4. For these cases, Lu's coordinate agrees with the standard holomorphic coordinate on the Schubert cell. The difference in constructions is simply one of perspective, using the real model $\mathbf{k}(X_s)$ in one case and the complex model X_s in the other.

It is possible to compute the change of variables explicitly for higher length cases because of the recursive definition ϕ_ℓ . Set $(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_\ell) = (n_{\zeta_1} \dot{s}_1, n_{\zeta_2} \dot{s}_2, \dots, n_{\zeta_\ell} \dot{s}_\ell)$ in $P_{\dot{\mathbf{w}}}$. We want to determine $(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_\ell)$ as functions of $(\zeta_1, \zeta_2, \dots, \zeta_\ell)$ such that $\tilde{\phi}_\ell[p_1, p_2, \dots, p_\ell] = [\mathbf{k}(n_{z_1} \dot{s}_1), \mathbf{k}(n_{z_2} \dot{s}_2), \dots, \mathbf{k}(n_{z_\ell} \dot{s}_\ell)]$. But $\phi_\ell(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_\ell) = (\mathbf{k}(q_1), \mathbf{k}(q_2), \dots, \mathbf{k}(q_\ell))$ where $(q_1, q_2, \dots, q_\ell) \in P_{\dot{\mathbf{w}}}$ is determined recursively from $(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_\ell)$ as in Notation 3.1. The basic algorithm is then:

- (1) Factor $q_1 = p_1 = n_{\zeta_1} \dot{s}_1$ as $\mathbf{k}(q_1)\mathbf{d}(q_1)$ using Lemma 4.3. As in Example 1, we determine that $z_1 = \zeta_1$ and $\mathbf{d}(q_1) = \exp(\tilde{\zeta}_1 \tilde{E}_{\gamma_1})a(\zeta_1)^{-\tilde{H}_{\gamma_1}}$.
- (2) For k from 2 to ℓ , first compute $q_k = \mathbf{d}(q_{k-1})p_k \in P_{s_k}$ and then rewrite q_k in the form $n_{z_k} \dot{s}_k d_k$ for some $z_k \in \mathbb{C}$ and $d_k \in D$ depending on $\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_k$. This is possible because $\mathbf{k}(q_k)$ must have the form $\mathbf{k}(n_{z_k} \dot{s}_k)$ due to the fact that the diagram (17) commutes. As a consequence, one obtains $\mathbf{d}(q_k) = \mathbf{d}(n_{z_k} \dot{s}_k)d_k$ for the next case.

The process of rewriting q_k in the form $q_k = n_{z_k} \dot{s}_k d_k$ will require several basic computational lemmas and, in practice, requires a detailed understanding of the structure of G . For brevity, we introduce the notation

$$\tilde{H}_\alpha = \frac{2}{\langle \langle \alpha, \alpha \rangle \rangle} H_\alpha$$

for to the coroot associated to the root α . The proofs of the following three lemmas are straightforward computations. Since our goal in this section is to illustrate their use in computing the change of variables, we omit their proofs.

Lemma 4.5. *If α and β are positive roots, then*

$$a^{-\tilde{H}_\alpha} \exp(u \tilde{E}_\beta) = \exp(a^{-2 \frac{\langle \langle \alpha, \beta \rangle \rangle}{\langle \langle \alpha, \alpha \rangle \rangle}} u E_\beta) a^{-\tilde{H}_\alpha}$$

for each $u \in \mathbb{C}$ and $a > 0$ in $\mathbb{R}$.

Lemma 4.6. *If α and β are positive roots then*

$$\exp(u_1 E_\alpha) \exp(u_2 E_\beta) = \exp(u_2 E_\beta) \exp(u_1 u_2 [E_\alpha, E_\beta]) \exp(u_1 E_\alpha)$$

for each $u_1, u_2 \in \mathbb{C}$.

Lemma 4.7. *If s is a simple reflection and α is a root, then*

$$\dot{s}^{-1} a(u)^{-\tilde{H}_\alpha} \dot{s} = a(u)^{-\frac{\langle \langle s, \alpha, s, \alpha \rangle \rangle}{\langle \langle \alpha, \alpha \rangle \rangle} \tilde{H}_{s, \alpha}}$$

for each $u \in \mathbb{C}$.

Example 2. When $\ell(w) = 2$, $\mathbf{w} = (s_1, s_2)$ with $s_1 \neq s_2$. We set $p_1 = n_{\zeta_1} \dot{s}_1$, $p_2 = n_{\zeta_2} \dot{s}_2$ and $q_1 = p_1$, $q_2 = \mathbf{d}(q_1)p_2$. We know that $z_1 = \zeta_1$. Following the algorithm, we compute

$$\begin{aligned} q_2 &= \exp(\bar{\zeta}_1 \check{E}_{\gamma_1}) a(\zeta_1)^{-\check{H}_{\gamma_1}} \exp(\zeta_2 \check{E}_{\gamma_2}) \dot{s}_2 \\ &= \exp(\bar{\zeta}_1 \check{E}_{\gamma_1}) \exp\left(a(\zeta_1)^{-2 \frac{\langle \gamma_1, \gamma_2 \rangle}{\langle \gamma_1, \gamma_1 \rangle}} \zeta_2 \check{E}_{\gamma_2}\right) \dot{s}_2 a(\zeta_1)^{-\check{H}_{s_2 \cdot \gamma_1}} \end{aligned}$$

by Lemma 4.5 and Lemma 4.7. Now, we use Lemma 4.6 to move $\exp(\bar{\zeta}_1 \check{E}_{\gamma_1})$ to the right, obtaining

$$(18) \quad q_2 = \exp\left(a(\zeta_1)^{-2 \frac{\langle \gamma_1, \gamma_2 \rangle}{\langle \gamma_1, \gamma_1 \rangle}} \zeta_2 \check{E}_{\gamma_2}\right) \dot{s}_2 d_2$$

where

$$(19) \quad d_2 = \exp\left(a(\zeta_1)^{-2 \frac{\langle \gamma_1, \gamma_2 \rangle}{\langle \gamma_1, \gamma_1 \rangle}} \bar{\zeta}_1 \zeta_2 (\dot{s}_2^{-1} \cdot [\check{E}_{\gamma_1}, \check{E}_{\gamma_2}])\right) \exp(\bar{\zeta}_1 (\dot{s}_2^{-1} \cdot \check{E}_{\gamma_1})) a(\zeta_1)^{-\check{H}_{s_2 \cdot \gamma_1}}$$

by Lemma 4.6. But $d_2 \in D$ because $[E_{\gamma_1}, E_{\gamma_2}] \in \mathfrak{g}_{\gamma_1 + \gamma_2}$, and thus $\dot{s}_2^{-1} \cdot [E_{\gamma_1}, E_{\gamma_2}] \in \mathfrak{g}_{\gamma_1} \subset \mathfrak{n}$ while $\dot{s}_2^{-1} \cdot \check{E}_{\gamma_1} \in \mathfrak{n}$ as well. Thus, $\mathbf{k}(q_2) = \mathbf{k}(n_{z_2} \dot{s}_2) = \mathbf{k}(\exp(z_2 \check{E}_{\gamma_2}) \dot{s}_2)$ where $z_2 = a(\zeta_1)^{-2 \frac{\langle \gamma_1, \gamma_2 \rangle}{\langle \gamma_1, \gamma_1 \rangle}} \zeta_2$. Therefore,

$$(20) \quad z_1 = \zeta_1 \text{ and } z_2 = (1 + |\zeta_1|^2)^{\frac{\langle \gamma_1, \gamma_2 \rangle}{\langle \gamma_1, \gamma_1 \rangle}} \zeta_2.$$

Remark 4.8. From (20) we see that when the sequence $\mathbf{w} = (s_1, s_2)$ of simple reflections is such that the associated simple positive roots γ_1 and γ_2 are orthogonal with respect to (the dual of) the Killing form, the change of variables reduces again to the identity map. So, in that case, Lu's coordinates again agree with the standard holomorphic coordinates. But when $\langle \gamma_1, \gamma_2 \rangle \neq 0$, then z_2 depends on $\bar{\zeta}_1$ and thus Lu's coordinates are not holomorphic. This analysis shows that this change of character is not an artifact of different perspectives between the complex and real models of the Schubert cell, but an intrinsic difference due to the role of the real algebraic factorization maps and the nature of the sequence $\mathbf{w}$.

Example 3. We conclude with a more specific example of length 3. Set $G = \mathrm{SL}(3, \mathbb{C})$, B equal to the set of upper triangular matrices, H equal the set of diagonal matrices, and $K = \mathrm{SU}(3)$. Let $\gamma_1 = \lambda_1 - \lambda_2$ and $\gamma_2 = \lambda_2 - \lambda_3$ where λ_j is the linear function on $\mathfrak{sl}(3, \mathbb{C})$ which selects the (j, j) entry. Then γ_1 and γ_2 are simple positive roots and we let r_1 and r_2 denote the corresponding simple reflections.

Let w equal the longest element of the Weyl group. The sequence $\mathbf{w} = (s_1, s_2, s_3) = (r_1, r_2, r_1)$ is a reduced decomposition of w and we let $\gamma_3 = \gamma_1$ denote positive simple root associated to $s_3 = r_1$. The determination of z_1 and z_2 is the same as in Example 2. In this case, $\langle \gamma_j, \gamma_j \rangle = 2$ for each $j = 1, 2, 3$ while $\langle \gamma_j, \gamma_{j+1} \rangle = -1$ for $j = 1, 2$. This simplifies the powers of the function $a(\cdot)$ that appear in the formulas. In particular, for z_1 and z_2 , we have

$$z_1 = \zeta_1 \text{ and } z_2 = \frac{\zeta_2}{\sqrt{1 + |\zeta_1|^2}}$$

from equation (20).

To determine z_3 , we first simplify the factor d_2 from (19) in this specific setting. Here, $\check{E}_{\gamma_1} = \check{E}_{\gamma_3} = E_{12}$ and $\check{E}_{\gamma_2} = E_{23}$ where E_{ij} denotes the matrix with 1 in

position (i, j) and zeros elsewhere. Then we can quickly verify

$$[\check{E}_{\gamma_1}, \check{E}_{\gamma_2}] = E_{13}, \quad \check{s}_2^{-1} \cdot [\check{E}_{\gamma_1}, \check{E}_{\gamma_2}] = iE_{12}, \quad \text{and} \quad \check{s}_2^{-1} \cdot \check{E}_{\gamma_1} = iE_{13}$$

by direct computation. Furthermore, $\check{H}_{\gamma_1} = \check{H}_{\gamma_3} = E_{11} - E_{22}$ which we denote by H_{12} and $\check{H}_{\gamma_2} = E_{22} - E_{33}$ which we denote by H_{23} , for brevity. Then $s_2 \cdot \gamma_1 = \gamma_1 + \gamma_2$ and $s_3 \cdot \gamma_2 = s_1 \cdot \gamma_2 = \gamma_1 + \gamma_2$. Set $H_{13} = E_{11} - E_{33} = \check{H}_{\gamma_1 + \gamma_2}$. Then, specializing (19), we obtain

$$d_2 = \exp(a(\zeta_1)\bar{\zeta}_1\zeta_2 iE_{12}) \exp(\bar{\zeta}_1 iE_{13}) a(\zeta_1)^{-H_{13}}.$$

Following the algorithm, we compute that

$$\mathbf{d}(q_2) = \mathbf{d}(n_{z_2}\dot{s}_2)d_2 = \exp(\bar{z}_2 E_{23}) a(z_2)^{-H_{23}} d_2$$

by Lemma 4.3. Then

$$\begin{aligned} q_3 &= \mathbf{d}(q_2)p_3 \\ &= \mathbf{d}(\exp(z_2 E_{23})\dot{s}_2)d_2 n_{\zeta_3}\dot{s}_3 \\ &= \exp(\bar{z}_2 E_{23}) a(z_2)^{-H_{23}} \exp(a(\zeta_1)\bar{\zeta}_1\zeta_2 iE_{12}) \exp(i\bar{\zeta}_1 E_{13}) a(\zeta_1)^{-H_{13}} \exp(\zeta_3 E_{12})\dot{s}_3. \end{aligned}$$

Our goal is to write this as $\exp(z_3 E_{12})\dot{s}_3 d_3$ for some $d_3 \in D$ and a unique $z_3 \in \mathbb{C}$. To do that, we first move $a(\zeta_1)^{-H_{13}}$ to the right using Lemma 4.5 and Lemma 4.7 to write

$$q_3 = \exp(\bar{z}_2 E_{23}) a(z_2)^{-H_{23}} \exp(a(\zeta_1)\bar{\zeta}_1\zeta_2 iE_{12}) \exp(i\bar{\zeta}_1 E_{13}) \exp(a(\zeta_1)^{-1}\zeta_3 E_{12})\dot{s}_3 a(\zeta_1)^{H_{23}}$$

because $s_3 \cdot (\gamma_1 + \gamma_2) = \gamma_2$. Then we can interchange the factors $\exp(i\bar{\zeta}_1 E_{13})$ and $\exp(a(\zeta_1)\zeta_3 E_{12})$ because E_{13} and E_{12} commute. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} q_3 &= \exp(\bar{z}_2 E_{23}) a(z_2)^{-H_{23}} \exp(a(\zeta_1)(i\bar{\zeta}_1\zeta_2 iE_{12}) \exp(a(\zeta_1)^{-1}\zeta_3 E_{12})\dot{s}_3 \exp(\bar{\zeta}_1 E_{23}) a(\zeta_1)^{H_{23}} \\ &= \exp(\bar{z}_2 E_{23}) a(z_2)^{-H_{23}} \exp(a(\zeta_1)(i\bar{\zeta}_1\zeta_2 + a(\zeta_1)^{-2}\zeta_3)E_{12})\dot{s}_3 \exp(\bar{\zeta}_1 E_{23}) a(\zeta_1)^{H_{23}}. \end{aligned}$$

Next, we move the term $a(z_2)^{-H_{23}}$ to the right using Lemma 4.5 and Lemma 4.7.

This gives

$$\begin{aligned} q_3 &= \exp(\bar{z}_2 E_{23}) \exp(a(z_2)a(\zeta_1)(i\bar{\zeta}_1\zeta_2 + a(\zeta_1)^{-2}\zeta_3)E_{12}) a(z_2)^{-H_2} \dot{s}_3 \exp(\bar{\zeta}_1 E_{23}) a(\zeta_1)^{H_1} \\ &= \exp(\bar{z}_2 E_{23}) \exp(a(z_2)a(\zeta_1)(i\bar{\zeta}_1\zeta_2 + a(\zeta_1)^{-2}\zeta_3)E_{12})\dot{s}_3 a(z_2)^{H_1} \exp(\bar{\zeta}_1 E_{23}) a(\zeta_1)^{H_1} \end{aligned}$$

since $s_3 = r_1$. Finally, we move the term $\exp(\bar{z}_2 E_{23})$ to the right using Lemma 4.6 to find

$$q_3 = \exp(a(z_2)a(\zeta_1)(i\bar{\zeta}_1\zeta_2 + a(\zeta_1)^{-2}\zeta_3)E_{12})\dot{s}_3 d_3$$

where $d_3 \in D$. Thus $\mathbf{k}(q_3) = \mathbf{k}(n_{z_3}\dot{s}_3)$ where $z_3 = a(z_2)a(\zeta_1)(i\bar{\zeta}_1\zeta_2 + a(\zeta_1)^{-2}\zeta_3)$. The expression $a(z_2)a(\zeta_1) = a(a(\zeta_1)\zeta_2)a(\zeta_1)$ simplifies to $(1 + |\zeta_1|^2 + |\zeta_2|^2)^{-1/2}$. Thus, in total,

$$(21) \quad z_1 = \zeta_1, \quad z_2 = \frac{\zeta_2}{\sqrt{1 + |\zeta_1|^2}}, \quad \text{and} \quad z_3 = \frac{i\bar{\zeta}_1\zeta_2 + \zeta_3(1 + |\zeta_1|^2)}{\sqrt{1 + |\zeta_1|^2 + |\zeta_2|^2}}.$$

Remark 4.9. On pg. 365 of [3], Lu considers this same example and computes the change of variables between the coordinates z_1, z_2, z_3 and variables u_1, u_2, u_3 . This second set of variables should not be confused with $\zeta_1, \zeta_2, \zeta_3$. The relationship between them is given by the diffeomorphism $M_{\mathbf{w}}: N_{\mathbf{w}} \rightarrow N_w$. Specifically,

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & u_1 & u_3 \\ 0 & 1 & u_2 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = n_{\zeta_1}\dot{s}_1 n_{\zeta_2}\dot{s}_2 n_{\zeta_3}\dot{s}_3 \dot{w}^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \zeta_1 & i\zeta_2 + \zeta_1\zeta_3 \\ 0 & 1 & \zeta_3 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

which means $u_1 = \zeta_1$, $u_2 = \zeta_3$, and $u_3 = i\zeta_2 + \zeta_1\zeta_3$. One can confirm that with these substitutions, our change of variables (21) agrees with the one given at the top of page 366 of [3] obtained via the Gram-Schmidt process.

REFERENCES

- [1] Bott, R. & Samelson, H.; *Applications of the theory of Morse to symmetric spaces*; Amer. J. Math. 80 1958 9641029.
 - [2] Demazure, M.; *Désingularisation des variétés de Schubert généralisées. (French) Collection of articles dedicated to Henri Cartan on the occasion of his 70th birthday, I*; Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) 7 (1974), 5388.
 - [3] Lu, J.-H.; *Coordinates on Schubert cells, Kostant's harmonic forms, and the Bruhat Poisson structure on G/B* ; Transformation Groups, vol. 4, no. 4, 1999, pp. 355-374.
- E-mail address:* jacaine@cpp.edu